

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

**FINAL DOCUMENT
CLOSING
ADVERSARY PROCEEDING**

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

STANDARD CHARTERED FINANCIAL
SERVICES (LUXEMBOURG) S.A. (f/k/a
AMERICAN EXPRESS FINANCIAL SERVICES
(LUXEMBOURG) S.A. and f/k/a AMERICAN
EXPRESS BANK (LUXEMBOURG) S.A.), as
represented by its Liquidator HANSPETER
KRÄMER, HANSPETER KRÄMER, in his
capacities as liquidator and representative of
STANDARD CHARTERED FINANCIAL
SERVICES (LUXEMBOURG) S.A.,

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK
INTERNATIONAL (AMERICAS) LTD., f/k/a
AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK
INTERNATIONAL, and

STANDARD CHARTERED INTERNATIONAL
(USA) LTD., f/k/a AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK
LTD.,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 12-01565 (SMB)

STIPULATED FINAL ORDER
GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), as trustee of the substantively consolidated liquidation proceeding of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”), under the Securities Investor Protection Act (“SIPA”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.*, and the estate of Bernard L. Madoff, individually, and Defendants Standard Chartered Financial Services (Luxembourg) S.A. (f/k/a American Express Financial Services (Luxembourg) S.A. and f/k/a American Express Bank (Luxembourg) S.A.) (“SCFS”), as represented by its Liquidator Hanspeter Krämer, and Hanspeter Krämer in his capacities as liquidator and representative of SCFS, Standard Chartered Bank International (Americas) Ltd., f/k/a American Express Bank International (“SCBI”) and Standard Chartered International (USA) Ltd., f/k/a American Express Bank Ltd. (“SCI,” and together with SCBI, SCFS and the Trustee, collectively, the “Defendants,” and together with the Trustee, the “Parties”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel state as follows:

WHEREAS, on April 26, 2012, the Trustee initiated the above-captioned adversary proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”) by filing a Complaint against SCFS, SCBI, and SCI. *See Picard v. Standard Chartered Financial Services (Luxembourg) S.A.*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01565 (SMB), ECF No. 1;

WHEREAS, on May 15, 2012 and June 7, 2012, respectively, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, the Honorable Jed S. Rakoff, entered Orders in which he withdrew the reference in certain adversary proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d) to determine whether SIPA and/or the Bankruptcy Code as incorporated by SIPA apply extraterritorially, permitting the Trustee to avoid initial transfers that were received abroad or to

recover from initial, immediate, or mediate foreign transferees (the “Extraterritoriality Issue”).
See Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC, No. 12-mc-0115 (JSR),
ECF Nos. 97 and 167;

WHEREAS, after consolidated briefing and oral argument on the Extraterritoriality Issue, *see id.*, ECF Nos. 234, 309, 310, 322, and 357, on July 7, 2014, Judge Rakoff entered an Opinion and Order (the “Extraterritoriality Order”) and returned the withdrawn adversary proceedings to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings consistent with the Extraterritoriality Order. *See Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, 513 B.R. 222 (S.D.N.Y. 2014);

WHEREAS, on July 28, 2014, Judge Rakoff entered a Stipulation and Supplemental Opinion and Order in which he supplemented the Extraterritoriality Order to direct that certain additional adversary proceedings should “also be returned to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings consistent with” the Extraterritoriality Order. *See Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, No. 12-mc-0115 (JSR), ECF No. 556;

WHEREAS, on December 10, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order concerning further proceedings on Extraterritoriality Issue that directed SCFS, the Trustee, and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation to submit supplemental briefing to address (a) which counts asserted in the adversary proceeding against SCFS should be dismissed pursuant to the Extraterritoriality Order or the legal standards announced therein and (b) whether the Trustee shall be permitted to file an amended complaint containing allegations relevant to the Extraterritoriality Issue as proffered by the Trustee (together, the “Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss”). *See Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB), ECF No. 8800;

WHEREAS, SCBI and SCI did not participate in the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss and therefore were not subject to the Bankruptcy Court's December 10, 2014 order;

WHEREAS, on December 31, 2014, SCFS filed the consolidated memorandum of law in support of the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss. *See Picard v. Standard Chartered Financial Services (Luxembourg) S.A.*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01565 (SMB), ECF No. 75;

WHEREAS, pursuant to further scheduling Orders, *see Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB), ECF Nos. 8990, 9350, and 9720, on June 27, 2015, the Trustee filed (a) a consolidated memorandum of law in opposition to the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss, (b) a supplemental memorandum in opposition to the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss, and (c) proffered allegations as to the Extraterritoriality Issue that the Trustee would include in a proposed amended complaint. *See Picard v. Standard Chartered Financial Services (Luxembourg) S.A.*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01565 (SMB), ECF Nos. 89, 90, and 91;

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2015, SCFS filed (a) a consolidated reply memorandum of law in support of the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss and (b) a supplemental reply memorandum in support of the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss. *See id.*, ECF Nos. 95 and 96;

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court heard oral argument on the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss. *See Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB), ECF No. 12081;

WHEREAS, on November 22, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court issued a Memorandum Decision Regarding Claims to Recover Foreign Subsequent Transfers (the "Memorandum Decision") that granted the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss as to SCFS on the basis of

extraterritoriality. *See Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, Adv. No. 08-01789 (SMB), ECF No. 14495;

WHEREAS, the Memorandum Decision directed that the Trustee's claims in the Complaint in this adversary proceeding should be dismissed as to the subsequent transfers received by SCFS;

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2017, this Court entered the So Ordered Stipulation Applying the Omnibus Extraterritoriality Briefing and Memorandum Decision to Defendants Standard Chartered Bank International (Americas) Ltd., f/k/a American Express Bank International and Standard Chartered International (USA) Ltd., f/k/a American Express Bank Ltd., (the "Joinder Stipulation"), applying the Memorandum Decision, subject to the reservations of rights and limitations set forth therein, to SCBI and SCI. *See Picard v. Standard Chartered Financial Services (Luxembourg)*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-05120 (SMB), ECF No. 110;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to consent to the Bankruptcy Court's entry of final orders and judgments consistent with the Memorandum Decision in this adversary proceeding;

NOW, for the reasons set forth in the Memorandum Decision, which is incorporated herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Parties agree and stipulate and the Bankruptcy Court hereby orders:

1. The Bankruptcy Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and (e)(1) and 15 U.S.C. § 78eee (b)(2)(A) and (b)(4).

2. The Parties expressly and knowingly grant their consent solely for the Bankruptcy Court to enter final orders and judgments with respect to the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss, whether the underlying claims are core under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) or non-core under 28 U.S.C. § 157(c)(2), subject to appellate review, including under 28 U.S.C. § 158.

Notwithstanding the above grant of consent, SCFS, SCBI and SCI reserve all other jurisdictional, substantive, or procedural rights and remedies in connection with this adversary proceeding, including with respect to the Bankruptcy Court's power to finally determine any other matters in this adversary proceeding.

3. The Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED** as to all Defendants.

Dated: January 20, 2017
New York, New York

By: /s/ David J. Sheehan
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
45 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10111
Telephone: (212) 589-4200
Facsimile: (212) 589-4201
David J. Sheehan
Email: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee
for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA
Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment
Securities LLC and the Estate of Bernard L.
Madoff*

Dated: January 20, 2017
New York, New York

By: /s/ Diane L. McGimsey
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
Telephone: (212) 558-4000
Facsimile: (212) 558-3588
Sharon L. Nelles
Email: nelles@sullcrom.com
Andrew John Finn
Email: finna@sullcrom.com

1888 Century Park East
Los Angeles, California 90067
Diane L. McGimsey
Email: mcgimseyd@sullcrom.com
Admitted pro hac vice

*Attorneys for Standard Chartered Financial
Services (Luxembourg) S.A., Standard
Chartered Bank International (Americas) Ltd.
and Standard Chartered International (USA)
Ltd.*

SO ORDERED

Dated: March 3rd, 2017
New York, New York

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN
HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE